



The Criminogenic Dynamics of Methamphetamine Abuse: A Differential Association Theory Approach

Mardana Rifta Oktaviana^{1*}, Anis Widyawati², Indah Sri Utari³

¹⁻³ Universitas Negeri Semarang, Indonesia

email: riftaokta@students.unnes.ac.id¹

Article Info :

Received:

24-06-2026

Revised:

01-06-2026

Accepted:

04-07-2026

Abstract

Methamphetamine abuse remains a critical challenge in Indonesia because it threatens public health, social stability, economic development, and national security. This study aims to analyze the criminogenic dynamics underlying methamphetamine abuse and to explain how social interaction and peer environments facilitate the learning of drug-related behavior through Edwin H. Sutherland's Differential Association Theory. The study employed a non-empirical qualitative design using normative juridical and criminological approaches. Secondary data were collected through an extensive review of statutory regulations, scholarly literature, previous research, court decisions, and official publications of the National Narcotics Board (BNN). The data were analyzed using qualitative content analysis. The findings indicate that methamphetamine abuse results from the interaction between internal and external criminogenic factors. Internal factors include psychological vulnerability, weak self-control, identity exploration, and curiosity, whereas external factors comprise family disorganization, deviant peer associations, weakened social control, educational limitations, and economic hardship. Differential Association Theory further explains that methamphetamine abuse is acquired through sustained interaction within intimate social groups, where individuals learn criminal techniques, motives, rationalizations, and favorable definitions toward drug use. These findings suggest that effective prevention requires integrated strategies combining family strengthening, positive social environments, education, rehabilitation, and sustained law enforcement to disrupt criminogenic social learning processes.

Keywords: *Criminogenic Dynamics, Differential Association Theory, Methamphetamine Abuse, Narcotics Crime, Social Learning.*



©2022 Authors.. This work is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution-Non Commercial 4.0 International License.
(<https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/4.0/>)

INTRODUCTION

The escalating prevalence of narcotics abuse and illicit drug trafficking has evolved into a multidimensional global challenge that threatens not only public health but also social stability, economic productivity, and national security across both developed and developing countries. Methamphetamine, in particular, has emerged as one of the most destructive illicit substances because its highly addictive nature contributes to persistent criminal behavior, deteriorating mental health, and the expansion of organized drug markets (Samad, 2026). Beyond its clinical consequences, methamphetamine abuse undermines social cohesion by increasing violence, weakening community resilience, and reducing the quality of human capital, thereby creating substantial obstacles to sustainable national development. Indonesia has experienced a similar trend, as the 2025 BNN–BRIN–BPS national survey reported that annual narcotics abuse prevalence reached approximately 4.15–4.90 million individuals aged 15–64 years, representing a significant increase compared with previous years (kumparanNEWS, 2026). The persistence of this phenomenon indicates that narcotics abuse should no longer be interpreted solely as an individual behavioral problem but rather as a criminogenic process shaped by interconnected social, legal, and environmental determinants. Consequently, understanding the mechanisms that facilitate methamphetamine abuse requires an analytical perspective that integrates criminological theories with contemporary empirical evidence.

Previous criminological studies consistently demonstrate that methamphetamine abuse is influenced by the interaction between individual vulnerability and environmental exposure rather than by isolated personal characteristics alone (Fitrianna & Senjaya, 2024). Research conducted in Indonesia has repeatedly identified peer relationships, family conditions, social pressure, and accessibility to illicit

substances as dominant predictors of narcotics involvement among adolescents and young adults (Raharni et al., 2022). Social interaction within deviant peer groups has also been shown to normalize substance abuse by transmitting favorable definitions toward criminal behavior through continuous communication and shared experiences (Aulia et al., 2024). These findings reinforce the fundamental proposition of Differential Association Theory, which argues that criminal behavior is acquired through intimate social interactions in which individuals learn techniques, motives, and rationalizations supporting law violation (Prawira & Priyana, 2023). Similar theoretical applications have successfully explained various forms of deviant behavior beyond narcotics abuse, demonstrating that criminal conduct is fundamentally a learned social process rather than an innate disposition (Wijayanto et al., 2024). Collectively, these studies provide substantial empirical support for understanding methamphetamine abuse as a socially transmitted criminogenic phenomenon.

Despite the growing body of literature, important conceptual and empirical limitations remain unresolved because many existing studies emphasize legal enforcement or descriptive criminological explanations without systematically examining how criminogenic social interactions gradually construct methamphetamine abuse through differential learning processes (Guntara et al., 2026). Several studies acknowledge the influence of peers and the surrounding environment but rarely explore the dynamic interaction among internal vulnerabilities, economic pressures, social isolation, and continuous exposure to criminal subcultures that collectively reinforce drug-related behavior (Lutfi et al., 2025). Other investigations frequently employ Social Control Theory or general criminological perspectives, resulting in limited theoretical elaboration regarding the mechanisms through which criminal values are transmitted and maintained within interpersonal networks (Nurbaliza et al., 2024). This theoretical fragmentation reduces the explanatory capacity of previous research for understanding why individuals exposed to similar structural conditions may display different levels of involvement in methamphetamine abuse. Addressing these limitations requires a more integrated criminological framework capable of explaining both the social learning process and the criminogenic dynamics underlying narcotics abuse. Such an approach is expected to produce a more comprehensive understanding of the relationship between social interaction, criminal learning, and methamphetamine-related offending.

The widespread diffusion of methamphetamine abuse into urban and rural communities demonstrates that narcotics-related crime has become a nationwide criminogenic issue requiring responses that extend beyond conventional criminal justice approaches. Empirical evidence indicates that environmental influence, weak family supervision, and prolonged interaction with deviant peer groups significantly increase the likelihood of adolescents engaging in narcotics abuse and related criminal activities (BNN Provinsi Banten, 2023). Economic deprivation, social exclusion, and structural inequalities further reinforce individuals' susceptibility to participating in illicit drug networks by creating conditions in which criminal behavior is perceived as a rational alternative for achieving social or financial objectives (Khariroh, 2025). From a criminological perspective, these conditions interact with social learning mechanisms, allowing criminal values and behavioral patterns to be continuously reproduced through repeated interpersonal associations (Simamora et al., 2024). Such evidence suggests that methamphetamine abuse should be understood as a dynamic criminogenic process resulting from the reciprocal influence of structural conditions and everyday social interactions. Developing effective prevention and intervention strategies therefore requires a deeper understanding of how these criminogenic mechanisms operate throughout the process of criminal learning.

The explanatory relevance of Differential Association Theory lies in its capacity to clarify how individuals gradually internalize criminal attitudes, techniques, and justifications through repeated interaction with significant others who endorse deviant behavior (Mardianto et al., 2024). Previous criminological studies have consistently confirmed that the frequency, duration, priority, and intensity of social associations substantially influence the probability of adopting criminal conduct, including narcotics abuse (Sari & Farida, 2022). Complementary studies also reveal that deteriorating family relationships, broken-home conditions, and inadequate parental supervision amplify adolescents' dependence on peer acceptance, thereby strengthening opportunities for criminal learning within deviant environments (Rahayu & Astuti, 2022). Other criminological perspectives, including strain-based explanations, acknowledge that social pressure and frustration contribute to criminal behavior, yet these perspectives do not sufficiently explain how criminal knowledge is transmitted through continuous interpersonal interaction (Auli, 2025). Existing evidence therefore supports the need to

position Differential Association Theory as the principal analytical framework for investigating the criminogenic dynamics of methamphetamine abuse because it directly addresses the mechanisms through which criminal behavior is socially acquired and maintained. This perspective also offers stronger theoretical integration between individual decision-making and environmental influence than approaches relying exclusively on legal or structural explanations.

This study investigates the criminogenic dynamics underlying methamphetamine abuse by examining how internal vulnerabilities and external social environments interact through the learning mechanisms proposed in Differential Association Theory. The research seeks to explain how criminal behavior develops through sustained interpersonal associations and how these interactions facilitate the transmission of motives, techniques, and rationalizations supporting methamphetamine abuse. By integrating criminological analysis with contemporary evidence on narcotics abuse in Indonesia, this study is expected to strengthen the theoretical application of Differential Association Theory within the context of illicit drug-related crime. Methodologically, the study provides a comprehensive analytical framework for examining the reciprocal relationship between individual characteristics, social interaction, and criminogenic environments in shaping methamphetamine abuse. The findings are expected to contribute to the development of more evidence-based crime prevention strategies and broader criminological discussions concerning socially learned criminal behavior. Ultimately, this research positions methamphetamine abuse not merely as a legal violation but as a socially constructed criminogenic phenomenon that requires integrated theoretical and practical responses.

RESEARCH METHODS

This study adopts a non-empirical qualitative research design employing normative juridical and criminological approaches to examine the criminogenic dynamics of methamphetamine abuse through the perspective of Edwin H. Sutherland's Differential Association Theory. The conceptual framework integrates legal analysis with criminological theory to explain how criminal behavior is socially learned through interactions within deviant environments, emphasizing the transmission of motives, techniques, and rationalizations that reinforce methamphetamine abuse (Prawira & Priyana, 2023). Secondary data were collected through an extensive literature review of statutory regulations, court decisions, reports published by the National Narcotics Board (BNN), scholarly books, peer-reviewed journal articles, and other relevant scientific publications to establish a comprehensive theoretical foundation (Guntara et al., 2026). The methodological uniqueness of this study lies in its integration of normative legal analysis with criminological theory, enabling a multidimensional interpretation of methamphetamine abuse that extends beyond legal compliance to encompass the underlying social learning processes and criminogenic mechanisms shaping criminal behavior (Mardianto et al., 2024).

The analytical process employed qualitative content analysis to systematically synthesize legal norms, criminological literature, and empirical findings reported in previous studies, thereby identifying recurring patterns regarding peer influence, social interaction, environmental exposure, and other criminogenic factors associated with methamphetamine abuse (Lutfi et al., 2025). Theoretical synthesis was conducted through comparative analysis across legal, criminological, and behavioral studies to evaluate the explanatory capacity of Differential Association Theory in interpreting the social construction of narcotics-related crime while identifying convergent and divergent findings within the literature (Simamora et al., 2024). Methodological rigor was strengthened through data triangulation across multiple authoritative documentary sources, conceptual cross-validation among relevant criminological studies, and critical interpretation to ensure analytical consistency and theoretical credibility (Fitrianna & Senjaya, 2024). This robust analytical strategy enhances the reliability of the study by producing a coherent and comprehensive explanation of the criminogenic dynamics underlying methamphetamine abuse while offering a theoretically grounded contribution to criminological and legal scholarship.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Criminogenic Dynamics Underlying Methamphetamine Abuse: Criminological Causative Factors

Methamphetamine abuse should not be interpreted as an isolated act originating solely from an individual's personal choice but rather as the outcome of interrelated criminogenic conditions that gradually shape criminal behavior. The qualitative synthesis of legal documents, criminological studies,

and previous empirical findings indicates that methamphetamine abuse develops through the interaction of psychological vulnerability, social influence, and structural conditions that weaken conformity to legal and social norms (Fitrianna & Senjaya, 2024). This analytical pattern demonstrates that criminogenic factors function cumulatively, where the presence of one risk factor often amplifies the influence of others instead of operating independently. Such interdependence explains why individuals exposed to similar circumstances may exhibit different levels of susceptibility depending on the intensity of environmental exposure and personal resilience. The increasing prevalence of methamphetamine abuse in Indonesia also reflects the persistence of criminogenic environments that continue to facilitate both drug consumption and distribution, indicating that punitive measures alone remain insufficient to address the broader social mechanisms underlying narcotics-related crime (kumparanNEWS, 2026). A criminological interpretation therefore requires simultaneous consideration of individual characteristics and the broader social context within which criminal behavior is learned and reinforced.

To clarify the multidimensional nature of these criminogenic influences, the synthesized findings were categorized into internal and external determinants based on recurring patterns identified throughout the reviewed literature. Internal determinants primarily concern psychological and behavioral characteristics, whereas external determinants encompass family, peer, economic, and community-related influences that shape opportunities for criminal learning. The interaction between these dimensions illustrates that methamphetamine abuse rarely results from a single causal pathway but instead reflects the accumulation of interconnected criminogenic risks. The categorization presented in Table 1 provides a conceptual framework for understanding how different criminological factors converge throughout the progression of methamphetamine abuse.

Table 1. Classification of Criminogenic Factors Contributing to Methamphetamine Abuse

Criminogenic Dimension	Primary Factors	Criminological Implication
Internal	Emotional instability, weak self-control, curiosity, identity crisis	Increased vulnerability to drug initiation
Family	Broken home, ineffective supervision, poor communication	Reduced informal social control
Peer Environment	Deviant peer groups, social pressure, normalization of drug use	Criminal learning through interaction
Economic	Poverty, unemployment, financial pressure	Greater motivation for drug use or trafficking
Community	Weak social cohesion, disorganized neighborhoods	Expanded opportunities for criminal behavior

The classification demonstrates that internal vulnerability becomes considerably more influential when reinforced by unfavorable environmental conditions. Family dysfunction and peer association consistently emerge as the most influential external determinants because they directly affect the socialization process through which behavioral norms are transmitted. Economic hardship and community disorganization further intensify these risks by creating environments where deviant behavior becomes increasingly normalized rather than socially condemned.

The reviewed literature indicates that internal criminogenic factors primarily originate from psychological conditions affecting an individual's capacity for self-regulation and rational decision-making. Adolescents and young adults are particularly susceptible because identity formation, emotional instability, and curiosity frequently coincide with increased exposure to social influence during developmental transitions (Raharni et al., 2022). Social isolation and diminished emotional attachment further increase the likelihood that vulnerable individuals seek acceptance within deviant peer groups where narcotics use is normalized, thereby strengthening the process of criminal learning (Hisyam et al., 2025). Rather than functioning as direct causes of methamphetamine abuse, these psychological characteristics create conditions that increase receptiveness to criminogenic

environmental influences. Individual vulnerability therefore represents a facilitating condition whose criminological significance depends largely on subsequent social interaction.

External criminogenic factors demonstrate an equally significant contribution because individuals continuously interact with social environments that influence attitudes toward legality and deviance. Family disorganization resulting from prolonged conflict or broken-home conditions substantially reduces parental supervision and weakens the transmission of prosocial values during adolescence (Rahayu & Astuti, 2022). Similar patterns appear within peer environments, where repeated interaction with drug-using friends gradually normalizes methamphetamine consumption through observation, imitation, and interpersonal acceptance (Aulia et al., 2024). Community conditions characterized by weak informal social control further reinforce this process because the absence of collective supervision creates greater opportunities for illicit drug activities to develop unnoticed. The cumulative interaction between family, peer, and community influences illustrates that external criminogenic factors operate as interconnected social mechanisms rather than isolated environmental variables.

Structural conditions represented by economic inequality and limited social opportunities provide an additional criminological explanation for the persistence of methamphetamine abuse. According to Strain Theory, persistent discrepancies between socially valued goals and legitimate opportunities generate psychological pressure that encourages individuals to seek alternative coping strategies, including substance abuse and involvement in illicit drug markets (Auli, 2025). Poverty does not automatically produce criminal behavior, yet prolonged financial insecurity may increase exposure to criminogenic environments where narcotics become both a coping mechanism and a source of economic income (Khariroh, 2025). This interpretation is reinforced by studies showing that local narcotics problems frequently involve interactions among dealers, users, educational disadvantages, and permissive social environments that mutually sustain illicit drug activities (Lampata & Sulaiman, 2022). Social Disorganization Theory and Social Control Theory complement this explanation by demonstrating that weakened community institutions and deteriorating social bonds reduce informal constraints against criminal conduct, thereby increasing vulnerability to methamphetamine abuse (Nurbaliza et al., 2024; Sunoto et al., 2025). Collectively, these criminological perspectives indicate that methamphetamine abuse emerges through the convergence of individual vulnerability, weakened social institutions, and structural pressures, establishing the conceptual foundation for understanding how criminal behavior is subsequently learned through social interaction as explained by Differential Association Theory.

Social Interaction and the Learning Process of Methamphetamine Abuse: A Differential Association Perspective

Differential Association Theory provides a comprehensive explanation of how methamphetamine abuse develops through continuous social interaction rather than through innate criminal tendencies. The conceptual synthesis conducted in this study indicates that drug-related behavior is acquired through communication and repeated association with individuals who possess favorable attitudes toward narcotics use, consistent with Edwin H. Sutherland's proposition that criminal conduct is fundamentally a learned behavior (Prawira & Priyana, 2023). This perspective shifts analytical attention from individual pathology to the quality and intensity of interpersonal relationships that shape behavioral choices over time. Individuals who repeatedly interact with drug users gradually become familiar with both the practical aspects of drug consumption and the social meanings attached to such behavior. Criminal learning therefore represents a gradual process in which deviant values become increasingly normalized through everyday interaction rather than through isolated incidents.

The analytical review further demonstrates that communication functions as the primary mechanism through which knowledge concerning methamphetamine use is transmitted between individuals. Such communication extends beyond verbal conversations and includes observation, imitation, and symbolic interaction occurring within close social relationships, allowing inexperienced individuals to acquire practical knowledge regarding drug acquisition, consumption methods, and strategies for avoiding legal detection (Mardianto et al., 2024). Repeated exposure to these interactions gradually transforms curiosity into behavioral acceptance because individuals become increasingly accustomed to deviant practices within their social environment. Criminal learning therefore encompasses not only technical skills but also the construction of motives and justifications that reduce

psychological resistance toward illegal behavior. This process illustrates that the diffusion of methamphetamine abuse depends heavily on interpersonal communication occurring within trusted social networks.

To clarify the mechanisms through which Differential Association Theory explains methamphetamine abuse, the principal elements identified through the literature synthesis are summarized in Table 2. The analytical framework demonstrates that each proposition contributes to explaining a different stage in the criminal learning process, beginning with exposure to deviant associations and culminating in the internalization of favorable definitions toward narcotics use. Rather than operating independently, these propositions form an interconnected sequence through which repeated interaction gradually transforms social influence into criminal behavior. The conceptual organization presented in the table facilitates a systematic understanding of how social learning mechanisms contribute to methamphetamine abuse.

Table 2. Application of Differential Association Theory to Methamphetamine Abuse

Theoretical Element	Interpretation	Application in Methamphetamine Abuse
Learned behavior	Criminal behavior is acquired through learning	Drug use develops through social experience
Communication	Learning occurs through verbal and non-verbal interaction	Exchange of information regarding drug use
Intimate groups	Learning occurs primarily within close relationships	Friends, family, and workplace networks
Criminal techniques	Acquisition of practical knowledge	Methods of obtaining and consuming methamphetamine
Motives and rationalizations	Internalization of favorable definitions	Beliefs that methamphetamine improves stamina or productivity
Differential associations	Frequency, duration, priority, and intensity determine learning outcomes	Continuous exposure increases the probability of drug abuse

The literature consistently emphasizes that intimate personal groups constitute the most influential setting for criminal learning because emotional attachment strengthens the credibility of information transmitted among group members. Peer groups become particularly significant during adolescence and early adulthood, when individuals seek social acceptance and identity within their immediate environment. Adolescents who maintain close relationships with drug-using peers are more likely to perceive methamphetamine consumption as acceptable because repeated interaction reinforces favorable definitions toward narcotics use (Simamora et al., 2024). Comparable patterns have also been identified in studies examining other forms of deviant behavior, suggesting that the mechanisms of differential association remain applicable across different categories of crime (Wijayanto et al., 2024). These findings indicate that behavioral conformity within intimate groups frequently outweighs formal legal norms when individuals experience stronger emotional attachment to deviant peer networks than to conventional social institutions.

The reviewed literature also demonstrates that family and occupational environments contribute substantially to the continuity of criminal learning throughout different stages of life. Families characterized by ineffective communication, permissive attitudes toward substance abuse, or inadequate supervision may unintentionally transmit favorable definitions regarding narcotics use from an early age, reducing the effectiveness of informal social control (BNN Provinsi Banten, 2023). Similar mechanisms emerge within workplace environments where methamphetamine is perceived as a means of increasing endurance or productivity, allowing deviant beliefs to be reproduced among adult workers through routine interaction. The influence of these environments is strengthened when social relationships become frequent, long-term, and emotionally meaningful because repeated exposure

reinforces both behavioral techniques and cognitive justifications supporting drug consumption (Lutfi et al., 2025). Differential Association Theory therefore explains not only the initiation of methamphetamine abuse but also its persistence through continuous reinforcement within multiple social contexts.

An important implication emerging from this conceptual analysis is that the probability of methamphetamine abuse depends on the balance between favorable and unfavorable definitions acquired through social interaction rather than on exposure to criminal opportunities alone. Individuals who accumulate stronger definitions supporting narcotics use than those discouraging it become increasingly likely to accept methamphetamine as a legitimate response to occupational demands, emotional distress, or peer expectations (Sari & Farida, 2022). This interpretation reinforces the proposition that criminal behavior develops through cumulative learning experiences shaped by the frequency, duration, priority, and intensity of interpersonal associations rather than by isolated acts of persuasion. Preventive strategies should therefore prioritize the transformation of social environments by strengthening family communication, promoting positive peer networks, and creating institutional settings that consistently reinforce conventional values. Such an approach addresses the mechanisms through which criminal behavior is learned, making it more consistent with the explanatory logic of Differential Association Theory than interventions relying exclusively on punitive responses.

Integrating Criminogenic Factors and Differential Association Theory in Explaining Methamphetamine Abuse

The preceding analyses demonstrate that methamphetamine abuse cannot be adequately explained through a single criminological perspective because the phenomenon emerges from the interaction of multiple criminogenic mechanisms operating at individual, social, and structural levels. The conceptual synthesis indicates that internal vulnerability, environmental exposure, and social learning are interconnected processes rather than independent explanatory variables. While Strain Theory explains the pressures that increase an individual's susceptibility to deviant behavior, Differential Association Theory clarifies how those vulnerabilities are translated into actual criminal conduct through sustained interpersonal interaction (Auli, 2025; Prawira & Priyana, 2023). This integrated perspective reveals that criminogenic conditions establish the context in which criminal learning becomes more likely to occur. The analytical relationship among these theoretical perspectives suggests that methamphetamine abuse is best understood as the cumulative outcome of structural pressures and continuous social learning rather than as the consequence of a single causal determinant.

The literature further indicates that criminogenic conditions create opportunities for deviant social interaction without necessarily resulting in criminal behavior unless they are reinforced through repeated exposure to favorable definitions supporting narcotics use. Individuals experiencing economic hardship, emotional instability, or weakened family relationships do not automatically engage in methamphetamine abuse because behavioral outcomes remain dependent upon the social networks through which they interpret these experiences (Hisyam et al., 2025). This distinction is particularly important because it explains why individuals facing comparable structural disadvantages often display substantially different behavioral responses. Some individuals maintain conformity through positive social support, whereas others become integrated into peer groups that normalize drug consumption and gradually redefine illegal behavior as acceptable. The interaction between environmental vulnerability and interpersonal learning therefore represents the principal mechanism through which criminogenic risks are transformed into sustained methamphetamine abuse.

To illustrate the complementary relationship among the principal criminological theories identified through the literature review, Table 3 summarizes the analytical contribution of each theoretical perspective. Rather than competing explanations, these theories collectively describe different stages within the broader criminogenic process, beginning with structural vulnerability and culminating in the acquisition of criminal behavior through social learning. Their integration provides a more comprehensive analytical framework than approaches relying exclusively on legal, psychological, or sociological explanations.

Table 3. Integrated Criminological Perspectives on Methamphetamine Abuse

Criminological Theory	Primary Analytical Focus	Contribution to Explaining Methamphetamine Abuse
Strain Theory	Social and economic pressure	Explains the emergence of individual vulnerability and frustration
Social Disorganization Theory	Weak community institutions	Identifies environmental conditions facilitating deviant behavior
Social Control Theory	Weak social bonds	Explains declining conformity to conventional norms
Differential Association Theory	Social learning and interpersonal interaction	Explains the acquisition and maintenance of methamphetamine abuse through learned behavior

The integrated framework demonstrates that each theoretical perspective contributes a distinct analytical dimension while remaining conceptually interconnected. Strain Theory identifies the pressures that motivate individuals to seek alternative coping mechanisms, Social Disorganization Theory explains the deterioration of community structures capable of regulating behavior, and Social Control Theory clarifies how weakened attachment to conventional institutions reduces informal constraints against deviance (Sunoto et al., 2025). Differential Association Theory subsequently explains the mechanism through which these pre-existing vulnerabilities are converted into learned criminal behavior through continuous interaction with deviant social groups. The resulting analytical model therefore captures both the origins of vulnerability and the processes through which vulnerability evolves into persistent methamphetamine abuse. Such theoretical integration enhances the explanatory capacity of criminological analysis by connecting structural, social, and behavioral dimensions within a unified conceptual framework.

The synthesis also reveals that methamphetamine abuse is sustained through reciprocal interactions between individual agency and environmental influence rather than through one-directional causation. Criminogenic environments increase opportunities for criminal learning, while repeated participation in deviant networks simultaneously reinforces the environmental conditions supporting further narcotics abuse (Guntara et al., 2026). This reciprocal relationship creates a self-reinforcing cycle in which drug users contribute to maintaining social norms favorable to methamphetamine consumption, thereby increasing the probability that new members will adopt comparable behaviors. Such findings correspond with broader criminological evidence indicating that criminal behavior develops through dynamic interaction between environmental opportunity structures and interpersonal learning processes rather than through static personal characteristics alone (Guntara et al., 2026). Recognizing this cyclical relationship broadens the analytical focus from individual offenders to the criminogenic systems within which drug-related behavior is reproduced.

The integrated theoretical perspective offers important implications for criminological scholarship because it demonstrates that no single theory sufficiently explains the complexity of methamphetamine abuse when examined in isolation. A multidimensional analytical framework combining structural pressure, institutional conditions, social bonds, and interpersonal learning provides a more comprehensive interpretation of narcotics-related crime than mono-theoretical approaches. This conceptual integration also strengthens the normative analysis by linking legal concerns regarding narcotics control with criminological explanations concerning the origins and persistence of criminal behavior. Future criminological research may further refine this integrated framework by examining how these theoretical dimensions interact across different demographic groups and regional contexts. Such development would contribute to more evidence-informed policies that address both the structural causes of methamphetamine abuse and the social learning processes sustaining its continued prevalence.

Implications for Drug Prevention Policy and Criminological Innovation

The integrated criminological analysis demonstrates that effective responses to methamphetamine abuse require strategies extending beyond punitive enforcement because the persistence of narcotics-related crime is closely associated with criminogenic environments that continuously reproduce criminal behavior. Legal sanctions remain necessary to maintain public order, yet their deterrent effect becomes limited when individuals repeatedly return to social settings that reinforce favorable attitudes toward methamphetamine use (Guntara et al., 2026). The conceptual findings indicate that prevention policies should simultaneously address individual vulnerability, family resilience, community cohesion, and peer-group influence to interrupt the social learning process underlying drug abuse. Such an approach aligns with the criminological principle that sustainable crime prevention depends upon reducing criminogenic conditions rather than relying exclusively on punishment. Consequently, policy innovation should emphasize integrated interventions capable of modifying the social contexts in which criminal behavior is learned and reproduced.

An important implication emerging from the present analysis concerns the strategic role of family institutions in preventing the transmission of favorable definitions toward narcotics use. Families constitute the earliest environment in which behavioral norms are established, making parental supervision, emotional attachment, and effective communication essential protective factors against future involvement in methamphetamine abuse (BNN Provinsi Banten, 2023). When these protective mechanisms deteriorate, adolescents become increasingly dependent on peer acceptance, thereby strengthening opportunities for criminal learning within deviant social networks. Strengthening family resilience should therefore be viewed not merely as a social welfare initiative but also as a criminological intervention capable of reducing exposure to criminogenic environments. Community-based family education, parenting support programs, and early behavioral monitoring represent practical innovations consistent with the theoretical propositions identified throughout this study.

The multidimensional implications identified through the literature synthesis are summarized in Table 4, which presents an integrated prevention framework corresponding to the principal criminogenic factors discussed in the preceding sections. The framework illustrates that effective prevention requires coordinated interventions operating simultaneously across individual, family, community, educational, and institutional levels rather than isolated sectoral programs. This conceptual model reflects the practical application of the integrated criminological perspective developed in the present study.

Table 4. Integrated Framework for Methamphetamine Abuse Prevention

Intervention Level	Strategic Innovation	Expected Criminological Outcome
Individual	Early psychological intervention and rehabilitation	Reduced personal vulnerability and relapse risk
Family	Strengthened parental supervision and communication	Improved informal social control
School and Community	Positive peer-group development and community engagement	Reduced exposure to deviant associations
Government and Law Enforcement	Integrated prevention, rehabilitation, and law enforcement policies	Disruption of criminogenic environments and criminal learning networks

The proposed framework indicates that preventive strategies become more effective when legal enforcement is integrated with social interventions targeting the mechanisms through which criminal behavior is acquired. Educational institutions may strengthen students' resilience by promoting prosocial peer interaction and early identification of behavioral risk factors before deviant associations become firmly established. Community organizations likewise contribute by fostering collective efficacy and informal supervision capable of limiting opportunities for narcotics-related activities within local environments. Rehabilitation programs should also incorporate social reintegration components because successful recovery depends not only on ending substance dependence but also on

replacing criminogenic social networks with supportive conventional relationships (Guntara et al., 2026). These complementary strategies correspond with the central proposition of Differential Association Theory that behavioral change requires transformation of the social interactions through which criminal values are learned.

From a broader criminological perspective, the findings also encourage a shift from offender-oriented interventions toward network-oriented prevention strategies. Conventional approaches frequently concentrate on apprehending users and traffickers after criminal behavior has occurred, whereas the present conceptual analysis highlights the importance of disrupting the interpersonal relationships that facilitate the transmission of criminal knowledge and favorable attitudes toward methamphetamine use. Such preventive orientation is particularly relevant because repeated interaction within deviant peer groups represents one of the strongest predictors of sustained narcotics involvement across different social settings (Lutfi et al., 2025). Integrating criminological analysis into public policy therefore enables preventive measures to address the mechanisms sustaining drug abuse instead of merely responding to its legal consequences. This perspective broadens the role of criminal justice institutions by encouraging collaboration with educational, social welfare, and public health sectors.

The analytical framework developed in this study contributes to criminological scholarship by demonstrating that methamphetamine abuse should be understood as a socially constructed phenomenon emerging from the interaction of structural pressures, weakened social institutions, and continuous criminal learning. Integrating normative legal analysis with Differential Association Theory offers a broader explanatory perspective than approaches emphasizing individual responsibility or legal enforcement alone. The proposed framework also provides a practical foundation for developing evidence-informed prevention policies capable of addressing both criminogenic environments and the interpersonal learning processes that sustain narcotics abuse. Future studies may refine this conceptual model by incorporating comparative analyses across different regional contexts or evaluating the effectiveness of community-based intervention programs grounded in social learning principles. Such theoretical and practical development would strengthen the application of criminological knowledge in designing more adaptive and sustainable strategies for preventing methamphetamine abuse.

CONCLUSION

This study concludes that the criminogenic dynamics of methamphetamine abuse are shaped by the interaction of internal and external factors that collectively increase individuals' vulnerability to narcotics-related crime. Internal factors, including psychological instability, weak self-control, identity exploration, and heightened curiosity, create conditions that facilitate deviant behavior when combined with unfavorable social environments. External factors, such as family disorganization, deviant peer associations, weakened social control, community instability, and economic hardship, reinforce these vulnerabilities by increasing exposure to criminogenic settings where drug abuse is normalized. The integrated application of Strain Theory, Social Disorganization Theory, and Social Control Theory demonstrates that methamphetamine abuse cannot be explained solely by individual characteristics because structural pressures, weakened institutions, and deteriorating social bonds significantly influence the emergence of criminal behavior. These criminological perspectives collectively reveal that methamphetamine abuse is the product of interconnected social processes rather than isolated personal decisions.

The analysis further confirms that Edwin H. Sutherland's Differential Association Theory provides a robust explanatory framework for understanding how methamphetamine abuse is socially learned through continuous interaction with family members, peers, workplace networks, and other intimate social groups. Individuals gradually acquire not only the technical knowledge required to obtain and consume methamphetamine but also favorable definitions, motivations, and rationalizations that justify continued drug use through repeated communication and social reinforcement. The frequency, duration, priority, and intensity of interpersonal associations determine the extent to which deviant values become internalized, explaining why prolonged exposure to criminogenic environments substantially increases the likelihood of sustained methamphetamine abuse. The findings therefore indicate that criminal behavior develops through cumulative social learning processes operating within broader criminogenic contexts rather than through spontaneous or biologically determined tendencies. This integrated theoretical perspective strengthens the criminological understanding of

methamphetamine abuse by connecting structural vulnerability with the mechanisms through which criminal behavior is acquired and maintained.

The findings also indicate that effective responses to methamphetamine abuse require integrated prevention strategies extending beyond conventional law enforcement. While legal sanctions remain essential for maintaining public order, long-term prevention depends upon strengthening family resilience, promoting positive peer relationships, reinforcing community-based social control, expanding educational opportunities, and improving access to rehabilitation programs capable of supporting sustainable social reintegration. Addressing the criminogenic environments that facilitate criminal learning is equally important because reducing opportunities for deviant social interaction may prevent the transmission of favorable attitudes toward narcotics use before criminal behavior becomes established. The integration of normative legal analysis with Differential Association Theory presented in this study contributes a comprehensive conceptual framework for understanding the social construction of methamphetamine abuse while providing a theoretical foundation for evidence-informed prevention policies. Future criminological research may further refine this framework by examining its application across different social contexts and evaluating intervention models designed to disrupt criminogenic social networks and strengthen protective community institutions.

REFERENCES

- Auli, R. C. (2025). *Strain Theory Sebagai Penyebab Terjadinya Kejahatan*. HUKUMONLINE.COM. Diakses 13 Juni 2026, dari: <https://www.hukumonline.com/klinik/a/strain-theory-sebagai-penyebab-terjadinya-kejahatan-lt685c1be05392b/>
- Aulia, F. D., Pradamitha, S. C., & Chadijah, F. (2024). Pengaruh Interaksi Teman Sebaya Terhadap Karakter Individu. *Jurnal Harmoni Nusa Bangsa*, 2(1), 1-11. <http://dx.doi.org/10.47256/jhnb.v2i1.302>
- BNN, Provinsi Banten. (2023). *Peran Lingkungan Sosial Dalam Penyalahgunaan Narkoba Pada Remaja*. Badan Narkotika Nasional Provinsi Banten. Diakses dari: <https://banten.bnn.go.id/peran-lingkungan-sosial-dalam-penyalahgunaan-narkoba-pada-remaja/>
- Fitrianna, W. T., & Senjaya, O. (2024). Kejahatan Penyalahgunaan Narkotika Jenis Sabu Perspektif Kriminologi. *Jurnal Ilmu Hukum, Humaniora dan Politik*, 5(1), 283–288. <https://doi.org/10.38035/jihhp.v5i1.3018>
- Guntara, P., Pratama, R. E., & Putra, Z. M. G. (2026). Adolescent Drug Abuse in Indonesia: A Criminological Analysis of Legal and Rehabilitative Approaches:(Penyalahgunaan Narkotika pada Remaja di Indonesia: Analisis Kriminologis terhadap Pendekatan Hukum dan Rehabilitasi). *SYMPHONIA: Journal of Theory and Research Output*, 1(1), 209-217. <https://doi.org/10.12026/sym.v1i1.122>
- Guntara, P., Rohmah, F. G. N., Kusumaningtyas, M., & Saputro, M. S. A. (2026). Studi Kriminologi dalam Penanggulangan Tindak Pidana Narkotika. *Sanskara Hukum dan HAM*, 4(3), 252-263. <https://doi.org/10.58812/shh.v4i03.767>
- Hisyam, C. J., Endriyani, E., Rachman, H. L., Nuraini, S., Alam, M. Z. F., Rohmah, N. A., ... & Suwandi, H. (2025). Analisis Perilaku Pelaku Pencurian dalam Perspektif Ketegangan Sosial: Studi Tahapan Tindak Pidana Polsek, Polres, Hingga Lapas Kelas IIA:-. *Jurnal Ilmiah Penelitian Mahasiswa*, 3(6), 1110-1123. <https://doi.org/10.61722/jipm.v3i6.1836>
- Hisyam, C. J., Aditya, A., Az-Zahra, D., Galih, D., Sholihah, F., Nafilata, M., & El Sahla, S. (2025). Keterasingan Sosial Sebagai Faktor Pemicu Penyalahgunaan Narkoba Di Kalangan Remaja. *Jurnal Ilmiah Research and Development Student*, 3(1), 122-135. <https://doi.org/10.59024/jis.v3i1.1067>
- Khariroh, N. (2025). Kemiskinan di Indonesia. *Maliki Interdisciplinary Journal*, 3(4), 642-651. <https://urj.uin-malang.ac.id/index.php/mij/article/view/12890>
- kumparanNEWS. (2026). *Kepala BNN: Penyalahgunaan Narkotika Di Indonesia Mencapai 4,9 Juta Orang*. Diakses 13 Juni 2026, dari: <https://kumparan.com/kumparannews/kepala-bnn-penyalahgunaan-narkotika-di-indonesia-mencapai-4-9-juta-orang-26r1UUE0AQp>
- Lampata, M. R., & Sulaiman, H. (2022). Penyalahgunaan Narkotika dan Obat Obatan Terlarang Perspektif Kriminologi (Studi Kepolisian Resor Pohuwato). *Jurnal Supremasi*, 12(2), 121-130. <https://doi.org/10.35457/supremasi.v12i2.1851>

- Lutfi, M., Bobsaid, Y., Putra, A. R., Noorhalisa, S., Richter, A. S. B., & Saputra, K. (2025). Pengaruh Relasi Dalam Lingkungan Sosial Terhadap Pelaku Penyalahgunaan Narkoba. *Jurnal Ilmiah Research Student*, 2(1), 01-09. <https://doi.org/10.61722/jirs.v2i1.3543>
- Mardianto, E., Hartono, B., Oktariansyah, W., Mushliha, M., Asad, B. A., & Putra, R. E. (2024). Tinjauan Teoritis Pola Pembelajaran Kelompok Kriminal dalam Perspektif Differential Association Theory: Studi Kasus “Geng Kapak Merah” di Jakarta. *EKOMA : Jurnal Ekonomi, Manajemen, Akuntansi*, 3(3), 596–602. <https://doi.org/10.56799/ekoma.v3i3.3063>
- Nurbaliza, V., Dewi, S., & Abas, M. (2024). Tinjauan Kriminologi Terhadap Penyalahgunaan Narkotika di Kalangan Remaja di Kabupaten Karawang Ditinjau Dari Teori Control Social (Studi Kasus Badan Narkotika Nasional Karawang). *UNES Law Review*, 6(4), 10526-10532. <https://doi.org/10.31933/unesrev.v6i4.1952>
- Prawira, R. Y., & Priyana, P. (2023). Pendekatan Kriminologis Tindak Pidana Narkotika di Wilayah Hukum Kabupaten Karawang Perspektif Teori Asosiasi Diferensial. *YUSTISI*, 10(1), 291–306. <https://doi.org/10.32832/yustisi.v10i1.17487>
- Raharni, R., Isfandari, S. ., Purnamasari, T. ., Susianti, A. L. ., & Mujiati, M. (2022). IAI SPECIAL EDITION: Determinant Factors of Narcotics, Psychotropic, and Addictive Substances Abuse Relapse in A Drug Rehabilitation Centre in Indonesia. *Pharmacy Education*, 22(2), p. 207–212. <https://doi.org/10.46542/pe.2022.222.207212>
- Rahayu, S. F., & Astuti, N. W. (2022). Keluarga Broken Home Pemicu Aksi Kenakalan Remaja. *Empati – Jurnal Bimbingan dan Konseling*, 9(1), 77-86. <https://doi.org/10.26877/empati.v9i1.10583>
- Samad, D. N. M. (2026). Tindak Pidana Penyalahgunaan Narkotika Golongan I Jenis Sabu. *Lex Crimen Jurnal Fakultas Hukum Unsrat*, 14(4), . <https://ejournal.unsrat.ac.id/v3/index.php/lexcrimen/article/view/66406>
- Sari, W., & Farida, H. . (2022). Analisa Kriminologis Kejahatan Pencurian Berdasarkan Teori Differential Association. *Jurnal Panorama Hukum*, 6(2), 111–118. <https://doi.org/10.21067/jph.v6i2.6084>
- Simamora, C. M., Kennedy, H. F., Nurhuda, S., Agustiawan, M., Prawira, M. Y., & Siregar, R. (2024). Penyalahgunaan Narkoba Pada Remaja Ditinjau Dari Teori Asosiasi Diferensial. *EKOMA : Jurnal Ekonomi, Manajemen, Akuntansi*, 3(3), 811–817. <https://doi.org/10.56799/ekoma.v3i3.3116>
- Sunoto, S. P., Aziz, W. K., & Dhesthoni. Ketahanan Sosial dan Pengaruhnya Terhadap Penyalahgunaan Narkoba pada Remaja: Perspektif Teori Kontrol Sosial Travis Hirschi. *Jurnal Kajian Stratejik Ketahanan Nasional*, 6(1), 1-11. <https://doi.org/10.7454/jkskn.v6i1.10073>
- Wijayanto, B. P., Purba, N., Barus, H. N. D., Listianto, D. E., Putra, A. B., & Putra, S. G. (2024). Konsumsi Minuman Beralkohol pada Anak di Bawah Umur Ditinjau dari Teori Differential Association. *EKOMA: Jurnal Ekonomi, Manajemen, Akuntansi*, 3(3), 906–910. <https://doi.org/10.56799/ekoma.v3i3.3140>