



Beyond Punishment: A Criminological Analysis of Social Determinants of Criminal Behavior in Contemporary Society

Deo Renaldi Saputra^{1*}, Indah Sri Utari², Anis Widyawati³

¹⁻³ Universitas Negeri Semarang, Indonesia

email: d.ors0810@gmail.com¹

Article Info :

Received:

20-06-2026

Revised:

29-06-2026

Accepted:

01-07-2026

Abstract

Criminal behavior has traditionally been examined through punishment-oriented frameworks that emphasize individual responsibility and legal accountability. Contemporary criminological scholarship increasingly demonstrates that crime is strongly influenced by social determinants operating within broader structural environments. This study analyzes the relationship between social determinants and criminal behavior in contemporary society using a normative criminological approach supported by conceptual, sociological, and criminological analyses. The findings indicate that socioeconomic inequality, social exclusion, educational deprivation, family dysfunction, structural discrimination, community disorganization, and technological transformation constitute significant factors contributing to criminal conduct. These determinants create criminogenic conditions that increase vulnerability to offending and facilitate the persistence of criminal activities across different social contexts. The study further finds that punishment-centered criminal justice policies possess limited effectiveness in addressing the underlying causes of crime, particularly regarding recidivism and long-term behavioral change. Preventive strategies emphasizing rehabilitation, social inclusion, educational development, community strengthening, and institutional reform demonstrate greater potential for sustainable crime reduction. Effective criminal justice systems therefore require integration between substantive criminal law, preventive social policies, and evidence-based criminological interventions to address both legal violations and their structural causes.

Keywords : Social Determinants of Crime; Criminal Behavior; Criminology; Crime Prevention; Criminal Justice Policy.



©2022 Authors.. This work is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution-Non Commercial 4.0 International License.

(<https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/4.0/>)

INTRODUCTION

Crime has traditionally been examined through legal frameworks that emphasize punishment, deterrence, and offender accountability. Contemporary criminological scholarship increasingly recognizes that criminal behavior is influenced by a complex interaction of social, economic, environmental, and psychological factors. The exclusive focus on punitive responses often overlooks the structural conditions that contribute to criminal conduct. Criminology has consequently shifted toward broader analytical approaches that investigate the underlying determinants shaping criminal behavior across different social settings (Bansal et al., 2023).

The study of criminal behavior requires an examination of factors extending beyond individual decision-making. Social inequality, family instability, educational disadvantages, unemployment, community disorganization, and social exclusion have been identified as important contributors to criminal activity. These determinants influence opportunities, motivations, and behavioral patterns associated with offending. Zabarniy et al. (2023) and Mezghiche (2025) argue that criminal behavior emerges through interactions between personal characteristics and social environments rather than through isolated individual choices.

Contemporary societies present new challenges for understanding criminal behavior due to rapid social transformation, technological development, and changing patterns of social interaction. Urbanization, migration, economic restructuring, and digital communication have altered traditional mechanisms of social control. These developments create new opportunities for crime while simultaneously affecting social cohesion and informal community supervision. The changing social

landscape requires criminological analysis capable of explaining how structural conditions shape criminal behavior in modern societies (Simes et al., 2023).

Socioeconomic inequality remains one of the most frequently discussed determinants within criminological literature. Limited access to education, employment opportunities, housing, and social services may increase exposure to criminogenic environments. Communities experiencing persistent deprivation often encounter higher levels of crime, victimization, and criminal justice intervention. McCausland and Baldry (2023) demonstrate that social disadvantage significantly influences patterns of incarceration and criminal justice outcomes, reflecting broader inequalities within society.

The relationship between social structures and criminal behavior is also evident in discussions concerning systemic discrimination and institutional inequality. Structural barriers affecting access to resources and opportunities may contribute to conditions associated with criminal involvement. Research examining housing inequality, racial disparities, and mass incarceration highlights the interconnected nature of social exclusion and criminal justice outcomes. Blankenship et al. (2023) identify structural inequalities as important social determinants influencing both criminalization processes and broader social harms.

Group dynamics represent another important dimension in understanding criminal behavior. Criminal conduct frequently occurs within social networks that provide support, opportunities, and normative justification for illegal activities. Organized criminal groups, gangs, and peer networks often facilitate participation in criminal behavior through social learning and collective identity formation. Campana and Varese (2023) demonstrate that social relationships and network structures play significant roles in shaping patterns of criminal group membership and participation.

The effectiveness of punishment as a mechanism for crime reduction remains a subject of continuing debate. Traditional criminal justice systems frequently rely on incarceration and punitive sanctions to deter offending behavior. Evidence from criminological research suggests that punishment alone may have limited effectiveness in addressing the social conditions associated with criminal conduct and recidivism. Khan et al. (2023), Clegg et al. (2024), and Mensah and Gyamfua Akuoko (2023) emphasize the importance of rehabilitation, reintegration, and social support mechanisms in reducing repeated criminal behavior.

Criminal behavior also manifests across diverse social environments and forms of victimization. Violence against children, cyber-related offenses, and community-based crimes illustrate the multifaceted nature of contemporary criminality. These forms of offending are influenced by specific social and institutional conditions that cannot be adequately explained through punishment-centered approaches alone. Imran (2024) and Bokhari (2023) demonstrate that emerging forms of crime require analytical frameworks capable of integrating social determinants, institutional responses, and changing patterns of social interaction. This study examines the social determinants of criminal behavior in contemporary society through a criminological perspective, focusing on how structural conditions contribute to criminal conduct and how such insights may inform more effective responses beyond punishment-oriented approaches.

RESEARCH METHODS

This study employs a qualitative normative criminological research design to examine the social determinants of criminal behavior in contemporary society. The research focuses on analyzing criminological theories, social factors, and empirical findings that explain the relationship between social conditions and criminal conduct. A normative criminological approach is applied to evaluate how existing criminological perspectives interpret the influence of social structures on offending behavior. The study further explores the limitations of punishment-oriented responses and the relevance of preventive and rehabilitative approaches within contemporary criminal justice systems.

The research utilizes three analytical approaches: the conceptual approach, the sociological approach, and the criminological approach. The conceptual approach examines major criminological concepts related to criminal behavior, social determinants, social control, rehabilitation, and recidivism. The sociological approach analyzes the influence of social environments, inequality, education, employment, housing conditions, and community structures on patterns of offending. The criminological approach evaluates theoretical explanations concerning the causes of crime and the interaction between individual and structural factors in shaping criminal behavior.

The sources of data consist of secondary data obtained from academic literature and scientific publications relevant to criminology, criminal behavior, and social determinants of crime. These materials include peer-reviewed journal articles, criminology textbooks, research reports, policy analyses, and scholarly publications discussing crime, punishment, rehabilitation, social inequality, and criminal justice systems. Particular attention is given to studies examining the relationship between social disadvantage, institutional conditions, and criminal conduct. The selected literature provides the empirical and theoretical foundation for analyzing contemporary patterns of criminal behavior.

Data collection is conducted through a comprehensive literature review. Relevant publications are systematically identified, classified, and examined according to their contribution to understanding social determinants of criminal behavior. The review focuses on themes such as socioeconomic inequality, social exclusion, family conditions, educational opportunities, community disorganization, incarceration, rehabilitation, and recidivism. These materials are organized to facilitate comparative analysis of different criminological perspectives and empirical findings.

Data analysis employs qualitative descriptive and analytical techniques. The collected literature is interpreted through criminological reasoning to identify recurring patterns, theoretical relationships, and explanatory factors associated with criminal behavior. The analysis examines how social determinants influence offending behavior across different social contexts and evaluates the effectiveness of punishment-centered approaches in addressing these underlying causes. The findings are presented systematically to provide a comprehensive criminological understanding of criminal behavior beyond traditional punitive frameworks.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Social Determinants as Structural Drivers of Criminal Behavior in Contemporary Society

Contemporary criminological scholarship demonstrates a significant shift from offender-centered explanations toward structural analyses of criminal behavior. Crime is increasingly understood as a product of interactions between individuals and their social environments rather than solely as the consequence of personal choice or biological predisposition. This perspective recognizes that criminal conduct frequently emerges within social conditions characterized by inequality, deprivation, exclusion, and institutional weakness. Theoretical developments in modern criminology therefore position social determinants as central explanatory variables in understanding patterns of offending behavior (Mezghiche, 2025; Zabarniy et al., 2023).

Socioeconomic inequality remains among the most influential determinants of criminal behavior. Economic disparities create unequal access to employment, education, housing, and social mobility, generating circumstances in which some individuals experience persistent structural disadvantage. Criminological analyses indicate that economic deprivation does not directly produce criminality, yet it increases vulnerability to unlawful activities when legitimate opportunities are restricted. The relationship between inequality and crime consequently reflects broader failures in social and economic distribution systems (Raj & Rahman, 2023; McCausland & Baldry, 2023).

The economic theory of crime explains that individuals often evaluate available opportunities within their social environment. Where legal avenues for advancement are limited, criminal activities may appear as alternative mechanisms for achieving economic objectives. This condition becomes particularly evident in communities experiencing chronic unemployment and social exclusion. Criminological research consistently identifies correlations between economic marginalization and elevated levels of property crime, organized crime participation, and recidivism (Raj & Rahman, 2023; Bansal et al., 2023).

Social exclusion further strengthens criminogenic conditions by reducing participation in mainstream social institutions. Individuals who experience exclusion from educational systems, labor markets, and community structures frequently develop weaker attachments to conventional social norms. Such circumstances align with social control theory, which argues that weakened social bonds increase the likelihood of deviant behavior. The erosion of social integration therefore represents a significant pathway through which structural disadvantage influences criminal conduct (Dewi, 2025; McCausland & Baldry, 2023).

Rapid social change has also transformed traditional crime patterns. Technological innovation, urbanization, migration, and globalization have altered social interactions and expanded opportunities for offending. Contemporary criminal behavior increasingly includes cybercrime, digital fraud, identity

theft, and technology-assisted offenses that transcend territorial boundaries. These developments indicate that evolving social environments continually reshape criminogenic opportunities and require corresponding adaptations within criminal justice systems (Insani et al., 2026; Bokhari, 2023).

Table 1. Major Social Determinants of Criminal Behavior and Their Criminological Implications

Social Determinant	Criminological Impact	Legal Implications
Socioeconomic inequality	Increased offending risk	Social welfare and crime prevention policies
Social exclusion	Weak social integration	Inclusive justice programs
Educational deprivation	Limited lawful opportunities	Educational intervention measures
Family dysfunction	Weak socialization processes	Child protection regulations
Structural discrimination	Unequal justice outcomes	Anti-discrimination legislation
Community disorganization	Reduced informal control	Community crime prevention policies
Technological change	Expansion of cybercrime	Cybercrime regulations
Criminal networks	Organized offending patterns	Organized crime enforcement

Family conditions remain among the strongest predictors of criminal involvement. Criminological studies consistently demonstrate that exposure to neglect, domestic violence, parental criminality, and inadequate supervision contributes to delinquent behavior. Family institutions function as primary agents of socialization responsible for transmitting social norms and behavioral expectations. When these functions deteriorate, children and adolescents become more vulnerable to deviant influences and criminal participation (Mezghiche, 2025; Imran, 2024).

Educational deprivation constitutes another significant criminogenic factor. Educational institutions provide not only academic development but also mechanisms of social control, social integration, and normative regulation. Individuals with limited educational attainment often face restricted employment opportunities and increased economic insecurity. These disadvantages may contribute to criminal behavior by reducing access to legitimate means of achieving social and economic goals (Bansal et al., 2023; Dewi, 2025).

Structural discrimination and systemic inequality have received increasing attention within contemporary criminology. Research indicates that unequal access to housing, healthcare, employment, and legal protections contributes to the reproduction of social disadvantage across generations. Structural racism and discriminatory institutional practices influence both criminalization processes and criminal justice outcomes. These inequalities create conditions in which marginalized populations experience disproportionate exposure to both criminal victimization and penal intervention (Blankenship et al., 2023; Baranauskas, 2022).

Organized criminal activity further illustrates the influence of social determinants on offending behavior. Criminal groups frequently recruit members from socially vulnerable populations characterized by economic instability and limited institutional support. Network-based criminological research demonstrates that criminal participation often develops through social relationships, peer influence, and community connections rather than purely individual decision-making. Organized crime therefore reflects broader structural conditions that facilitate collective forms of criminal behavior (Campana & Varese, 2023).

From a legal perspective, the significance of social determinants challenges traditional assumptions that criminal liability should focus exclusively on individual culpability. Although criminal law fundamentally operates through the principles of actus reus and mens rea, contemporary criminological evidence suggests that effective crime prevention requires addressing the structural conditions that contribute to offending. Substantive criminal law therefore operates most effectively when supported by complementary social policies designed to reduce inequality, strengthen social institutions, and expand access to legitimate opportunities. The interaction between criminal law and social policy consequently becomes essential for understanding and reducing criminal behavior in contemporary society (Zabarniy et al., 2023; McCausland & Baldry, 2023).

The Limitations of Punishment-Oriented Approaches and the Development of Preventive Criminal Justice Policies

The historical development of criminal justice systems has largely been characterized by a reliance on punishment as the primary response to criminal behavior. Retributive sanctions, incarceration, and deterrence-based policies have traditionally dominated legal approaches toward crime control. These mechanisms are grounded in the assumption that the threat or imposition of punishment discourages future offending. Contemporary criminological scholarship increasingly questions the effectiveness of punishment-centered models in addressing the underlying causes of criminal behavior (Khan et al., 2023; Clegg et al., 2024).

The expansion of incarceration across many jurisdictions demonstrates the persistence of punitive criminal justice philosophies. Despite substantial increases in imprisonment rates, many societies continue to experience recurring patterns of criminal behavior and recidivism. Research suggests that incarceration frequently addresses the symptoms of crime while leaving underlying social determinants unresolved. Consequently, imprisonment alone often fails to produce sustainable reductions in criminal activity (Clegg et al., 2024; Simes et al., 2023).

Substantive criminal law primarily functions to define prohibited conduct and prescribe corresponding sanctions. Criminal codes establish legal certainty regarding acts classified as offenses and provide mechanisms for punishment following conviction. Such legal frameworks remain essential for maintaining social order and protecting public interests. The challenge arises when criminal justice systems rely exclusively upon punitive measures without addressing the social conditions that contribute to offending behavior (Khan et al., 2023).

Recidivism represents one of the most significant limitations of punishment-oriented criminal justice systems. Studies examining prison populations demonstrate that many offenders return to criminal activity following release. Repeated offending frequently reflects unresolved social problems, including unemployment, social exclusion, inadequate education, and limited access to community support. These findings indicate that punishment alone cannot effectively address criminogenic conditions that persist beyond incarceration (Mensah & Gyamfua Akuoko, 2023).

The relationship between place, policing, and punishment further illustrates structural limitations within contemporary criminal justice systems. Research indicates that law enforcement interventions are often concentrated within disadvantaged communities experiencing high levels of social vulnerability. Such patterns may contribute to cycles of criminalization without substantially improving underlying social conditions. Consequently, policing strategies require integration with broader preventive and social development initiatives (Simes et al., 2023).

Table 2. Comparative Assessment of Punitive and Preventive Criminal Justice Approaches

Dimension	Punitive Approach	Preventive Approach
Primary objective	Punishment of offenders	Reduction of criminogenic factors
Legal orientation	Retribution and deterrence	Prevention and rehabilitation
Main instrument	Incarceration and sanctions	Social intervention programs
Focus of intervention	Individual offender	Individual and social environment
Recidivism reduction	Limited effectiveness	Greater long-term potential
Social integration	Often weakened	Strengthened through support systems
Policy emphasis	Criminal liability	Crime prevention and reintegration
Long-term outcome	Repeated criminal cycles	Sustainable crime reduction

Modern criminology increasingly advocates preventive approaches that address social determinants before criminal conduct occurs. Prevention-oriented policies focus on improving educational access, strengthening family support systems, reducing economic inequality, and enhancing community cohesion. Such strategies seek to eliminate criminogenic conditions rather than merely

punishing their consequences. Preventive interventions therefore operate as complementary mechanisms alongside substantive criminal law (Mezghiche, 2025; Dewi, 2025).

Rehabilitation has emerged as a central component of contemporary criminal justice reform. Modern legal systems increasingly recognize that offenders remain members of society capable of behavioral change and social reintegration. Rehabilitation programs emphasize education, vocational training, psychological support, and community reintegration measures. These initiatives aim to reduce recidivism by addressing factors associated with criminal behavior rather than relying exclusively upon punishment (Khan et al., 2023; Mensah & Gyamfua Akuoko, 2023).

Juvenile delinquency provides a particularly important context for evaluating preventive approaches. Children and adolescents frequently engage in criminal behavior within environments characterized by social instability, violence exposure, and inadequate supervision. Contemporary juvenile justice principles emphasize diversion, restorative justice, and educational interventions instead of purely punitive sanctions. These approaches reflect the recognition that developmental factors significantly influence youth offending and require specialized legal responses (Alputila et al., 2025; Rudi Margono, 2026).

Contemporary legal frameworks increasingly incorporate specialized regulations addressing emerging forms of criminal behavior. Cybercrime legislation, child protection laws, anti-trafficking regulations, and organized crime statutes illustrate efforts to adapt substantive criminal law to changing social realities. Effective implementation of these laws nevertheless depends upon broader institutional capacities and preventive policies capable of addressing underlying criminogenic conditions. Legal regulation alone cannot eliminate criminal behavior without corresponding social interventions (Bokhari, 2023; Spytka, 2023; Faturrachman, 2026).

The evolution of criminological thought demonstrates a growing consensus that sustainable crime reduction requires integration between criminal law, social policy, and preventive governance. Punishment remains an indispensable component of criminal justice because it reinforces legal norms and ensures accountability. Nevertheless, contemporary evidence indicates that long-term crime prevention depends upon reducing social inequalities, strengthening community institutions, improving educational opportunities, and expanding rehabilitation mechanisms. Criminal law therefore achieves greater effectiveness when operating within a broader framework that addresses both legal responsibility and the social determinants of criminal behavior (Khan et al., 2023; Clegg et al., 2024; Zabarniy et al., 2023).

CONCLUSION

The findings demonstrate that criminal behavior cannot be adequately explained through individual-centered perspectives alone. Contemporary criminological evidence indicates that socioeconomic inequality, social exclusion, educational deprivation, family instability, structural discrimination, community disorganization, and technological transformation function as significant social determinants of crime. These factors create criminogenic environments that increase exposure to criminal opportunities and weaken mechanisms of social control. The persistence of criminal behavior across different social contexts reflects the interaction between individual actions and broader structural conditions that influence decision-making, socialization processes, and access to legitimate opportunities.

The study further reveals that punishment-oriented criminal justice approaches possess inherent limitations when addressing the root causes of criminal behavior. While substantive criminal law remains essential for establishing legal accountability and protecting public order, long-term crime reduction requires preventive strategies that target underlying social determinants. Rehabilitation programs, educational interventions, social inclusion policies, community development initiatives, and institutional reforms provide important mechanisms for reducing criminogenic conditions and preventing recidivism. A comprehensive criminal justice framework should therefore integrate legal enforcement with preventive social policies to achieve sustainable and effective crime control in contemporary society.

REFERENCES

- Alputila, M. J., Silubun, Y. L., & Ngilawane, C. G. (2025). Analisis Kriminologis Fenomena 'Zona Papsel': Studi Kasus Keterlibatan Anak Dalam Tindak Pidana Penganiayaan Berat Di Papua Selatan. *Jurnal Hukum Cassowary*, 2(2), 111-128. <https://doi.org/10.65675/jhc.v2i2.202>
- Bansal, H., Badiye, A., Tandan, R., & Kapoor, N. (2023). Crime and society: an introduction to criminology. In *Textbook of forensic science* (pp. 1-40). Singapore: Springer Nature Singapore. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-981-99-1377-0_1
- Baranauskas, A. J. (2022). Racial resentment, crime concerns, and public attitudes toward defunding the police. *Journal of Ethnicity in Criminal Justice*, 20(1), 48-72. <https://doi.org/10.1080/15377938.2022.2053626>
- Blankenship, K. M., Rosenberg, A., Schlesinger, P., Groves, A. K., & Keene, D. E. (2023). Structural racism, the social determination of health, and health inequities: the intersecting impacts of housing and mass incarceration. *American journal of public health*, 113(S1), S58-S64. <https://doi.org/10.2105/AJPH.2022.307116>
- Bokhari, S. A. A. (2023). A quantitative study on the factors influencing implementation of cybersecurity laws and regulations in Pakistan. *Social Sciences*, 12(11), 629. <https://doi.org/10.3390/socsci12110629>
- Campana, P., & Varese, F. (2023). Open Access: The determinants of group membership in organized crime in the UK: a network study. In *The Criminology of Carlo Morselli-Part I* (pp. 5-22). Routledge.
- Clegg, J., Spitz, S., Usmani, A., & Wolcke, A. (2024). Punishment in modern societies: The prevalence and causes of incarceration around the world. *Annual Review of Criminology*, 7(1), 211-231. <https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev-criminol-022422-020311>
- Dewi, N. K. R. K. (2025). Analisis kritis teori kontrol sosial dan aplikasinya dalam pencegahan kejahatan komunitas. *Jurnal Aktual Justice*, 10(1), 79-83. <https://doi.org/10.70358/aktualjustice.v10i1.1504>
- Faturrachman, A. (2026). Analisis Yuridis Penyertaan Pada Tindak Pidana Perniagaan Harimau Sumatera Sebagai Satwa Yang Dilindungi (Studi Putusan No. 98/Pid. Sus/2021/Pn. Bgl)= Juridical Analysis Of Participation In The Criminal Act Of Trading Sumatran Tigers As Protected Animals (Study Of Decision No. 98/Pid. Sus/2021/Pn. Bgl) (Doctoral Dissertation, Universitas Hasanuddin Makassar). <https://repository.unhas.ac.id/id/eprint/53552/>
- Imran, M. F. (2024). Criminological examination of physical and psychological violence committed against children in the school environment. *Riwayat: Educational Journal of History and Humanities*, 7(1), 41-47. <http://doi.org/10.24815/Riwayat.v7i1.36477>
- Insani, N. S., Mulyana, R. N., & Hosnah, A. U. (2026). Dampak Perubahan Sosial Terhadap Pola Kejahatan: Perspektif Kriminologi. *Al-Zayn: Jurnal Ilmu Sosial & Hukum*, 4(1), 3166-3176. <https://doi.org/10.61104/alz.v4i1.3610>
- Kadir, Z. K. (2025). Perkembangan Pemikiran Neo-Lombrosian: Sebuah Tinjauan Teoretis terhadap Determinisme Biologis dalam Kriminologi. *Hukum Inovatif: Jurnal Ilmu Hukum Sosial dan Humaniora*, 2(1), 01-18. <https://doi.org/10.62383/humif.v2i1.843>
- Khan, M. I., Nisar, A., & Kanwel, S. (2023). From Punishment to progress: the legal evolution of criminal rehabilitation. *Pakistan Journal of Law, Analysis and Wisdom*, 2(02), 556-563.
- McCausland, R., & Baldry, E. (2023). Who does Australia lock up?: The social determinants of justice. *International Journal for Crime, Justice and Social Democracy*, 12(3), 37-53. <https://search.informit.org/doi/10.3316/informit.269048751214591>
- Mensah, R. O., & Gyamfua Akuoko, S. (2023). Triggers to repeated criminal behaviour in Ghana: An analysis of the Nsawam prison through a case study approach. *Cogent Arts & Humanities*, 10(2), 2268394. <https://doi.org/10.1080/23311983.2023.2268394>
- Mezghiche, S. (2025). The Criminal Behavior: exploring personal and social determinants: theoretical approach. *Science, Education and Innovations in the Context of Modern Problems*, 8(3), 962-981. <https://doi.org/10.56334/sei/8.3.591>
- Nusawakan, D., & Mufty, A. M. (2025). Teori Kriminologi dalam Mengkaji Faktor Penyebab Perilaku Konsumsi Minuman Beralkohol dan Implikasinya sebagai Pemicu Tindak Kriminal yang Ditoleransi dalam Masyarakat. *JISPENDIORA Jurnal Ilmu Sosial Pendidikan Dan Humaniora*, 4(3), 381-396. <https://doi.org/10.56910/jispendiora.v4i3.3182>

- Raj, P., & Rahman, M. M. (2023). Revisiting the economic theory of crime a state-level analysis in India. *Cogent Social Sciences*, 9(1), 2170021. <https://doi.org/10.1080/23311886.2023.2170021>
- Rudi Margono, S. H. (2026). Menakar Hukuman Mati Bagi Koruptor: Perspektif Hak Asasi Manusia Dan Efek Jera. Profesor Rudi Margono.
- Rudi Margono, S. H. (2026). Senjata Di Tangan Anak: Hukum Pidana Anak Dan Delinkuensi Remaja (Hukum Pidana Khusus & Kriminologi Anak). Profesor Rudi Margono.
- Simes, J. T., Beck, B., & Eason, J. M. (2023). Policing, punishment, and place: spatial-contextual analyses of the criminal legal system. *Annual Review of Sociology*, 49(1), 221-240. <https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev-soc-031021-035328>
- Spytska, L. (2023). The Nature of Sexual Violence: The Criminological Concept of Victimisation. *Pakistan Journal of Criminology*, 15(4), 1.
- Utari, I. S., Arifin, R., & Ramada, D. P. (2024). Exploring Child Grooming Sexual Abuse through Differential Association Theory: A Criminological and Legal Examination with Constitutional Implications. *Volksgeist: Jurnal Ilmu Hukum dan Konstitusi*, 7(1). <https://doi.org/10.24090/volksgeist.v7i1.9564>
- Zabarniy, M., Topchii, V., Kornikova, T., Topchii, O., & Topchii, V. (2023). Criminological analysis of determinants of criminal behavior. *Journal of Forensic Science and Medicine*, 9(2), 144-152. https://doi.org/10.4103/jfsm.jfsm_84_22